

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

<b>TITLE</b>	:	Developing Good Regulatory Practices (GRP) tools
<b>OBJECTIVE</b>	:	To deepen and strengthen the implementation of GRP at sectoral level.
<b>EXPECTED OUTCOMES</b>	:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide guidance to selected ministries and regulatory agencies in using GRP tools to improve quality of regulations</li> <li>• Improve number of ministries and regulatory agencies adopting GRP to formulate regulatory policy</li> </ul>
<b>DURATION</b>	:	January – April 2021
<b>TOTAL COST</b>	:	RM655,000
<b>BUDGET</b>	:	RMK12 – Robust Business Regulatory Ecosystem
<b>RECOMMENDED BY</b>	:	Productivity and Competitiveness Development (PCD) – National Competitiveness (NC)
<b>Comment/ Signature by PCT</b>	:	

# **MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION (MPC)**

## **PROPOSAL FOR THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT**

### **TITLE: DEVELOPING GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES (GRP) TOOLS**

#### **1. PURPOSE**

The purpose of this paper is to request approval from the Board of Management (BOM) to include the latest changes in the National Policy on Good Regulatory Practice (NPGRP)

#### **2. BACKGROUND**

- 2.1. Malaysia's regulatory reforms continue to be closely linked to Government's development plans. In addition to the initial GRP reforms initiated under the 10th and 11th Malaysia Plans, regulatory reform efforts are also a key element of the 12th Malaysia Plan (2021-2025), which seeks to consolidate GRP reforms and expand GRP provisions to modernize the current regulatory regime not only at the federal government level, but also at the state and local level.
- 2.2. The very close connection between gradually more advanced GRP reforms and the Malaysia Plans' reflect the country's journey towards becoming a high-income nation. The reforms and activities supported under this proposal are likely to further strengthen GRP's contribution to Malaysia Plan and development goals and will contribute to achieving the objectives in other strategic plans including Malaysia Productivity Blueprint (MPB).
- 2.3. While progress has been made on the GRP agenda, important implementation challenges are emerging. A number of ministries and regulatory agencies are following the regulatory management requirements set out in the NPDIR and subsequent MPC guidelines. However, despite many pockets of excellence, the implementation pattern is uneven. Mainstreaming of the GRP agenda into the day-to-day policy-making of line ministries and regulatory agencies can be challenging and complex, as in some cases GRP is considered "just another level of bureaucracy." At the same time, many reform processes – both domestically and internationally – are driven forward at the sectoral level.

2.4. Reflecting these challenges and opportunities, it is important to complement economy-wide GRP efforts with GRP initiatives at the sector-level. Supporting specific application of GRP tools in selected sectors will incentives ministries and agencies to apply GRP in their development and delivery of regulations which is help them avoid creating unnecessary regulatory burdens to businesses and citizen.

### **3. OBJECTIVE**

The overarching objective of this project is to deepen and strengthen the implementation of GRP in sectoral level.

### **4. OUTPUTS**

The expected outputs of the project will consist as follows:

- Workshops, training, group discussions, etc.
- Reports containing materials/presentations and actionable recommendations. The report will also include eight (8) GRP tools to be applied for selected ministries and regulatory agencies.

### **5. OUTCOMES**

The expected outcomes are:

- i. Provide guidance to selected ministries and regulatory agencies in using GRP tools to improve quality of regulations; and
- ii. Improve number of ministries and regulatory agencies adopting GRP to formulate regulatory policy

## 6. WORK PLAN

No	Key Activities	Timeframe			
		Januari	Februari	Mac	April
1.	<p>Development of GRP tools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identifying selected sectors and ministries to be reviewed</li> <li>Preparation of sector diagnostic</li> <li>Draft report</li> <li>Comment and feedback on the draft report</li> <li>Finalised report</li> </ul>				
2.	<p>Advisory and capacity building</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Training Public Policy Analysis, GRP tools and others</li> <li>Workshop, seminar and capacity programmes</li> <li>Provide technical advice and assistance for the development and implementation</li> </ul>				
3.	<p>Advocacy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Raise awareness among relevant authorities about the meaning, role and value of GRP to improve the implementation of regulation</li> </ul>				

## 7. ESTIMATED BUDGET

No	Type of Payment	Cost Per Unit (RM)*	No. of Pax	No. of Man-hours	Total Cost (RM)
1.	Development of GRP tools				
	Subject matter expert/facilitator/writer	300	4	520	156,000
	Advisor/report reviewer	300	4	120	36,000
	Editorial/Design	150	4	200 pgs	100,000
	Secretariat	300	2	40	24,000
				<b>sub-total</b>	<b>316,000</b>
2.	Advisory and capacity building				
	Online Training Public Policy Analysis, The London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE); internal (5 MPC officers) and external (5 government officials from relevant ministries and states) – refer Appendix 1 for proposed participants	12,100 (£2,200 @ RM5.50) – currency as 18.12.2020	10	I/smp	121,000
	SME facilitator <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop GRP Tools</li> <li>• Share knowledge and experience</li> </ul>	300	4	260	78,000
	Online workshop/sharing session/focus group discussion/teh tarik session package with stakeholders (online event and meals in voucher)	100	20 pax	10 sessions	20,000
				<b>sub-total</b>	<b>219,000</b>
3.	Advocacy				
	Hire SME to develop contents for advocacy	30,000	4		120,000
				<b>sub-total</b>	<b>120,000</b>
				<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>655,000</b>

\* Subject to rate under PCT's Guidelines

## 8. APPROVAL FROM BOM

The approval of the Board of Management (BOM) on the budget of RM655,000.00 is sought upon.

**Prepared By:**



Ati Djam Seri Montoi  
Senior Manager  
PCD-NC  
Date: 15 January 2021

**Reviewed By:**



Mohammed Alamin Rehan  
Deputy Director  
PCD-NC  
Date: 15 January 2021

**Reviewed By:**



Wan Fazlin Nadia Wan Osman  
Director  
PCD-NC  
Date: 15 January 2021

## **Appendix 1**

### **Proposed Participants for Training Public Policy Analysis, The London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE)**

#### **Internal (MPC officers)**

1. Mohammed Alamin Rehan, PCD-NCS
2. Ati Djam Seri Montoi, PCD-NCS
3. Azlin Mohd Alias, PCD-SI
4. Nazahiah Mohamad, PCD-DMO
5. Mohd Aizuddin Noor Azman, PCD-DMO

External officers from relevant ministries, government agencies and states such as INTAN, MOTAC, Sarawak state, Sabah State, etc. (specific name to be confirmed)